Pi-Network Analysis

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Lossless Elements

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Forward Direction, network terminated in 50 ohms

Tuning 130° pi-network to resonance. Zin = 2000 ohms, Zload = 50 ohms. Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = -219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = -47.82388655 ohms ohms.



Fig 24-1

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Lossless Elements

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Reverse Direction, network terminated in 2000 ohms

Tuning 130° pi-network to resonance. Zin = 2000 ohms, Zload = 50 ohms. Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = -219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = -47.82388655 ohms ohms.



$$\operatorname{Zin} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\operatorname{Z2}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Zc}}}$$

Zin = 50

With lossless elements Zin is a purely resistive 50 + j0 ohms when the network is resonant.

Fig 24-2

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Real Elements, Untuned

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Capacitor Q = 1000, Inductor Q = 200

Forward Direction, Network Terminated in 50 ohms

Reactance values unchanged from those during calculations with lossless elements

Tuning 130° pi-network to resonance. Zin = 2000 ohms, Zload = 50 ohms. Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = -219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = -47.82388655 ohms ohms.



Note that with lossy elements, and with the reactance values of the original lossless elements left unchanged, the resistive input impedance is now somewhat less than 2000 ohms, and academically, a significant amount of capacitive reactance has been introduced by the resistive loss in the elements, indicating that a perfect conjugate match no longer exists. However, from a practical viewpoint, the conjugate mismatch is so small as to be negligible with respect to loss in delivery of power to the load, and can be ignored. Resistive loss due to Rb = 0.2228 dB.

Conjugate	Reflection	Conjugate	Conjugate
Mismatch	Coefficient	Power	Mismatch
SWR	<u>RHO (ρ)</u>	Delivered	Loss
1.0594	0.0288	0.9992	0.0036 dB

Fig 24-3

Z2 = 25.10063 + 217.29419j

 $Zin = 1.88956 \times 10^3 - 21.95809j$

 $Zin = \frac{1}{1 \quad 1}$

 $\frac{1}{Z^2} + \frac{1}{Za}$

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Real Elements, Untuned

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Capacitor Q = 1000, Inductor Q = 200

Reverse Direction, Network Terminated in 2000 ohms

Reactance values unchanged from those during calculations with lossless elements

Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = -219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = -47.82388655 ohms ohms.



Za = 0.04782 - 47.82389j	Ra = 0.04782
Zb = 1.21122 + 242.24452j	Rb = 1.21122
Zc = 0.2199 - 219.89572j	Rc = 0.2199

Note that with lossy elements, and with the reactance values of the original lossless elements left unchanged, the resistive input impedance is now somewhat less than 50 ohms, and academically, a significant amount of capacitive reactance has been introduced by the resistive loss in the elements, indicating that a perfect conjugate match no longer exists. However, from a practical viewpoint, the conjugate mismatch is so small as to be negligible with respect to loss in delivery of power to the load, and can be ignored. Loss due to Rb = 0.2228 dB.

Conjugate	Reflection	Conjugate	Conjugate
Mismatch	Coefficient	Power	Mismatch
SWR	RHO	Delivered	Loss
1.0596	0.0289	0.9992	0.0036 dB

Fig 24-4

Z1 = 24.10035 - 217.22206j

Z2 = 25.31157 + 25.02247i

Zin = 49.82903 - 2.88367j

Z2 = Z1 + Zb

 $\operatorname{Zin} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\operatorname{Z2}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Za}}}$

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Real Elements, Retuned

Capacitor Q = 1000, Inductor Q = 200

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Forward Direction, Network Terminated in 50 ohms

Reactances retuned to resonance after inserting real elements

Tuning 130° pi-network to resonance. Zin = 2000 ohms, Zload = 50 ohms. Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = -219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = - 47.82388655 ohms ohms.



Resistive loss due to Rb = 0.2228 dB.

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Real Elements

Capacitor Q = 1000, Inductor Q = 200

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Reverse Direction, Network Terminated in 2000 ohms

Reactances retuned to resonance after inserting real elements

Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = - 219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = - 47.82388655 ohms ohms.



Ra = 0.2287
Rb = 1.25307
Rc = 0.0548

Note that readjustments to the reactance values of each element from those of the lossless case compensate for the reactances introduced by the loss resistance appearing in the real elements, returning (retuning) the network to resonance. As a result, a conjugate match has been re-established in the reverse direction. Loss due to Rb = 0.2228 dB.

Fig 24-6

Z1 = 26.03328 - 225.69324j

Z2 = 27.28635 + 24.92024j

 $Zin = 50 + 7.4119j \times 10^{-6}$

Z2 = Z1 + Zb

 $Zin = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{Z2} + \frac{1}{Zc}}$

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Real Elements

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Capacitor Q = 1000, Inductor Q = 200

Tuned in Forward Direction, Network terminated in 50 ohms, then **ReCalculated in Reverse Direction Terminated in 2000 ohms**

Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = - 219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = - 47.82388655 ohms ohms.



Za = 0.21998 - 219.97933j	Ra = 0.21998
Zb = 1.21122 + 242.2445j	Rb = 1.21122
Zc = 0.0452 - 45.2j	Rc = 0.0452

Network first tuned to resonance in forward direction, and then calculated to show amount of conjugate mismatch when going in reverse direction without retuning. Mismatch loss increased to 0.0154 dB from 0.0036 dB when going in reverse direction with reactance values of lossy elements unchanged from being tuned to resonance in forward direction. Total loss, combination of mismatch and resistive losses = 0.2382 dB

Conjugate	Reflection	Conjugate	Conjugate
Mismatch	Coefficient	Power	Mismatch
<u>SWR</u>	RHO (ρ)	Delivered	Loss
1.1265	0.059	0.9965	0.0154 dB

Fig 24-7

Z1 = 24.11837 - 217.30266j

Z2 = 25.3296 + 24.94185j

Zin = 49.13959 - 5.8441j

Z2 = Z1 + Zb

 $\operatorname{Zin} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{Z2} + \frac{1}{Zc}}$

Element Loss Resistances

CLC Pi-network Analysis with Real Elements

Capacitor Q = 1000, Inductor Q = 200

To match between impedances Z = 2000 ohms and Z = 50 ohms

Tuned in Reverse Direction, Network Terminated in 2000 ohms, then ReCalculated in Forward Direction Terminated in 50 ohms.

Initial network parameters at resonance with lossless elements: Xa = - 219.89571936 ohms, Xb = 242.24452283 ohms, Xc = - 47.82388655 ohms ohms. .



Element Loss Resistances

$Z_a = 0.2287 - 228.6959i$	Ra = 0.2287
Zb = 1.25307 + 250.61345j	Rb = 1.25307
Zc = 0.0548 - 54.7973j	Rc = 0.0548

Network first tuned to resonance in reverse direction, and then calculated to show amount of conjugate mismatch when going in fprward direction without retuning. Mismatch loss increased to 0.0127 dB from 0.0036 dB when going in reverse direction with reactance values of lossy elements unchanged from being tuned to resonance in forward direction. Loss due to resistive loss Rb = 0.2228 dB. Total loss, combination of mismatch and resistive losses = 0.2355 dB

Conjugate	Reflection	Conjugate	Conjugate
Mismatch	Coefficient	Power	Mismatch
SWR	RHO (ρ)	Delivered	Loss
1.1141	0.0540	0.9971	0.0127 dB

W2DU 01022006

Fig 24-8

 $\overline{\text{Zout}}^+ \overline{\text{Zc}}$

Z2 = Z1 + Zb

 $Zin = \frac{1}{1 \quad 1}$

 $\frac{1}{72} + \frac{1}{72}$

Z1 = 27.2818 - 24.87066j

Z2 = 28.53487 + 225.74279i

 $Zin = 1.79923 \times 10^3 - 40.35882j$

Comparison of Lossless vs Real Elements and Forward vs Reverse Directions in Pi-Networks

(CLC) Capacitor Q = 1000, Inductor Q = 200, Phase Delay 130 Degrees

Pi-network with Lossless Elements Forward Direction, 50-Ohm Load Zin = 2000 + j0.0000357787 (Fig 24-1)

Pi-network with Real Elements, Untuned

Forward Direction, 50-Ohm LoadReactance values unchanged(Fig 24-3)Zin = 1889.6 - j21.958

SWR = 1.0594, 0.0036 dB Conjugate Mismatch Loss

Pi-network, Real Elements, Retuned

Forward Direction, 50-Ohm Load Reactances <u>retuned</u> to resonance (Fig 24-5) Zin = 2000.0 + j0.000005589

Pi-network, Real Elements

Tuned in Reverse Direction with 2000-ohm Load, then ReCalculated in Forward Direction with 50-ohm Load Zin = 1799 - j40.359 (Fig 24-7) SWR = 1.1141, 0.0127 dB Conjugate Mismatch Loss

Fig 24-9

Pi-network with Lossless Elements Reverse Direction, 2000-ohm Load Zin = 50 + j0.000002428 (Fig 24-2)

Pi-network with Real Elements, Untuned Reverse Direction, 2000-ohm Load Reactance values <u>unchanged</u> (Fig 24-4) Zin = 49.829 - j2.8837 SWR = 1.0596, 0.0036 dB Conjugate Mismatch Loss

Pi-network, Real Elements, Retuned Reverse Direction, 2000-ohm Load Reactances <u>retuned</u> to resonance (Fig 24-6) Zin = 50 + j0.00002021

Pi-network, Real Elements

Tuned in Forward Direction with 50-ohm Load, thenReCalculated in Reverse Direction with 2000-ohm LoadZin = 49.14 - j5.844(Fig 24-8)SWR = 1.1265, 0.0154 dB Conjugate Mismatch Loss

W2DU 01022006